

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

8 JERRY GEORGE WOOD JR,
9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 DAN STITES, et al.,
12 Defendant.

CASE NO. C18-983-MJP-BAT

ORDER

13 Jerry George Wood Jr. proceeding *pro se* in this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action previously
14 moved for leave to amend his complaint. Dkt. 67. The motion was noted for consideration on
15 May 3, 2019. *Id.* By order dated May 7, 2019, this Court denied Mr. Wood’s motion “because
16 the proposed amendment would cause undue prejudice to defendants and, with respect to some
17 claims, because plaintiff unduly delayed in seeking to pursue these claims in this action.” Dkt.
18 81. On May 10, 2019, Mr. Wood filed a reply to his motion to amend. Dkt. 82. The Court has
19 considered Mr. Wood’s reply and finds it does not alter the determination to deny the motion to
20 amend the complaint.

21 //

22 //

23 //

1 Accordingly, the order denying the motion to amend dated May 7, 2019, remains in
2 effect. Dkt. 81. The Clerk is directed to provide a copy of this order to plaintiff and counsel for
3 defendants.

4 DATED this 14th day of May, 2019.

5
6 

7 BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA
United States Magistrate Judge